

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/090,735 | 03/06/2002 | David G. Figueroa | 219.40776X00 | 5260 | |
| 7 | 590 06/14/2006 | EXAMINER | | | |
| c/o Anne Richards | | | CRANE, SARA W | | |
| Schwegman, L 1600 TCF Tow | undberg, Woessner & K | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 121 S. 8th Stre | | 2811 | | | |
| Minneapolis, MN 55402 | | | DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summan | | F | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|------------|-------------------|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | | 10/090,735 | FIGUEROA ET AI | FIGUEROA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | xaminer | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Sara W. Crane | 2811 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed | lon . | | | | | | |
| , | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| _ | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) 🔲 Interview Su | mmary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT | | | Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date | -10/2R/08) | 6) Other: | | U-132) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/090,735

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of the independent claims, it is not clear what is meant by "impedance continuity" (or "substantial impedance continuity"). The term does not seem to be defined in the record, and was not found in electrical or semiconductor dictionaries. A text search in the US patent data base gives about 40 hits, but these references use the term to mean different things. Some references use "impedance continuity" in contrast to a discontinuity such as a break in wiring, where "impedance continuity" mean no break exists. Some references use the term to contrast a resistive region in a conduction path with a conductive region. Some references use the term to refer to possible parasitic capacitances or inductances occurring along a conductive wire. Each of these concepts are rather different. The idea in the claims, and the specification, seems to be that there is some sort of continuous wiring in the device, but this notion is not consistent with, for example, the last two lines of claim 2. (How would different permittivity of a core in comparison to that of a lamination have anything to do with continuity of wiring impedance?) So the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter is not clear.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,735

Art Unit: 2811

Also, how would one determine what is "substantial" impedance continuity, and how would one determine whether a limit is "predefined"? Predefined by what?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 8, and 15, insofar as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishihara et al., 6,627,986.

The cover figure of the reference shows a layered wiring device 10, with 15, for example, being at least one electrical conduction path through the layered substrate. There is no break in 15, so it would have "substantial impedance continuity," and the designation "predefined limit" refers to a step in a process of making (perhaps by mental or calculational activity). Since any limit could be "predefined" somehow, recitation of "predefined limit" would not distinguish over the prior art structure, which would have impedance, or impedance differences less than some value.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Crane, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1652.

Art Unit: 2811

The supervisor for Art Unit 2811, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara W. Crane Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2811